UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,582	09/25/2003	Clifton Harold Bromley	03SW169 / ALBRP314US	7480	
Susan M. Donal	7590 07/11/200 hue	EXAMINER			
	nation, 704-P, IP Dep	KENNEDY, ADRIAN L			
1201 South 2nd Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2129		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/670,582	BROMLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	Artonit	

	ADRIAN L. KENNEDY	2129	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended of Translation (a). The date of the sunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sl set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS		20 ((-	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DT01 004
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will	be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>36 and 39</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>24-28 and 40</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23,29-35,37 and 38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered but			
The examiner has throroughly considered the applicant's the change in scope caused by the amendments, the examiner has throroughly considered the applicant's			
searching of the prior art.	DTO/SD/00) Domos No/->		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	r i O/Sb/06) Paper NO(\$)		
	/Joseph P. Hirl/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2129	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)